

<b>Notice of Allowability</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/665,019	HERICOURT, OLIVIER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M. Mirza	2145	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1.  This communication is responsive to 12/20/2005.
2.  The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3 and 31-45.
3.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a)  All
  - b)  Some\*
  - c)  None
  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  
**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

4.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5.  CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
  - (a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
    - 1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6.  DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

1.  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2.  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3.  Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),  
Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
4.  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit  
of Biological Material
5.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6.  Interview Summary (PTO-413),  
Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
7.  Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8.  Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9.  Other \_\_\_\_\_.

  
**JASON CARDONE**  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

***Allowance***

1. Claims 1-3, 31-45 are allowed.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art references most closely resembling the applicant's claimed invention are Asano (U.S. 6,477,577) and Klaus (U.S. 5,892,903).

First Asano disclosed, "use of a socks server record to contain connection substitute server information when the connection substitute server is a socks server" (col. 6, lines 58-67)). Asano failed to disclose, "determining from said located record a TOS value and subsequently writing said determined TOS value into said TOS field of said IP datagram, wherein said TOS value is based on said ALP transported by said socks connection". Also Klaus failed to disclose, "determining from said located record a TOS value and subsequently writing said determined TOS value into said TOS field of said IP datagram, wherein said TOS value is based on said ALP transported by said socks connection" (claims 1,34,40).

Second Klaus also failed to disclose, "determining from said located record a TOS value and subsequently writing said determined TOS value into said TOS field of said IP datagram, wherein said TOS value is based on said ALP transported by said socks connection". Also Klaus failed to disclose, "determining from said located record a TOS value and subsequently writing said determined TOS value into said TOS field of said IP datagram, wherein said TOS value is based on said ALP transported by said socks connection". In summary Asano and Klaus

combination did not over come the above stated subject matter stated in all the independent claims 1,34,40).

In summary, the Examiner submits that there is no motivation to combine the aforementioned references; therefore, claims 1-3,31-45 have been deemed allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on statement of Reasons for Allowance".



JASON CARDONE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER